

I believe that Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation, and an affront to the notion of a free, unbiased press.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. This move to promote a specific, partisan point of view on what is ostensibly public-service broadcast television, clearly does not serve the general public interest.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve a more thorough review. Thank you.